X-Gmail-Received: e68e07dbd087cf985178156d5301f40a60f5fe7e

Delivered-To: huffcrimeblog@gmail.com

Received: by 10.70.131.7 with SMTP id e7cs268344wxd;

Wed, 15 Nov 2006 09:13:25 -0800 (PST)

Received: by 10.100.37.4 with SMTP id k4mr729427ank.1163610805216;

Wed, 15 Nov 2006 09:13:25 -0800 (PST)

Return-Path: <mlbruton@yahoo.com>

Received: from web34508.mail.mud.yahoo.com (web34508.mail.mud.yahoo.com [66.163.178.174])

by mx.google.com with SMTP id i16si1271854wxd.2006.11.15.09.13.24;

Wed, 15 Nov 2006 09:13:25 -0800 (PST)

Received-SPF: pass (google.com: domain of mlbruton@yahoo.com designates 66.163.178.174 as permitted sender)

DomainKey-Status: good (test mode)

Received: (gmail 19906 invoked by uid 60001); 15 Nov 2006 17:13:23 -0000

DomainKey-Signature: a=rsa-sha1; q=dns; c=nofws;

s=s1024; d=yahoo.com;

h=Message-ID:Received:Date:From:Subject:To:MIME-Version:Content-Type:Content-Transfer-Encoding;

b=okVP8PCwBTNxvSRWZ8xdZSMr6zqdGlkQE042mm9ASt5zBYFYEPE0XaJdVO1GIMyu2 MOu6h+eop4zA3x/zVv2CzN7d2wpwuffr5OP49l22KdOmbeAs8GQ4u5wmH7Vr/6uwFwFijUD 9cfTRtEHjVLVK0Av46/FlHLgtQ6AAZBTl0A= ;

Message-ID: <20061115171323.19904.qmail@web34508.mail.mud.yahoo.com>

Received: from [202,7,166,169] by web34508.mail.mud.yahoo.com via HTTP; Wed, 15 Nov 2006 09:13:23 PST

2000 09.13.23 FS1

Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 09:13:23 -0800 (PST)

From: Megan <mlbruton@yahoo.com>

Subject: Scott Davis Comments

To: "S. Huff" <huffcrimeblog@gmail.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Hey Steve,=0A =0AIt looks like the comments section about Scott's trial wen= t off yesterday. I have no idea who 'Edwin Stentin' is but I owe him a big= thank you. Do you mind passing that on to him from me? Do you think he i= s personally attached to the case? I can't figure out who he may be!! He = was able to articulate what I was thinking. The minute 'ed' started postin= g I was SURE he was a plant from the Davis side. This whole time I have be= en concerned that jurors would not be able to resist the urge to peak at th= e Internet for news on the trial. Your blog about Scott comes up very high= in the google search. That is why I have been leaving so many defending p= osts. If the jury was looking I wanted them to get another prospective. E= dwin was able to dissect several of the 'Ed' post and show them for what th= ey really were.=0A =0AI did notify the prosecuting attorneys on the case. =

They emailed back that they were aware of the blog comments, but there was = nothing they could do. They have to believe in the oath the jury took befo= re they started the trial.=0A =0AHow many 'hits' are you getting on your Sc= ott blog? I know everyone I speak to, both in America and Australia, are l= ooking at the blog. I even received a mass email from David's brother tell= ing all of their friends and family about it. That was another reason I po= sted, I wanted to be a voice for them and David.=0A =0AHow are things in yo= ur world??=0A =0AKind regards,=0A =0AMegan=0A=0A=0A=0A

=0ASponsore=

d Link=0A=0AMortgage rates near 39yr lows. =0A\$420k for \$1,399/mo. Calculate e new payment! =0Awww.LowerMyBills.com/lre

X-Gmail-Received: ed10616510adaea8a1777e63910deb6a45f31131

Received: by 10.70.131.7 with HTTP; Wed, 15 Nov 2006 12:47:24 -0800 (PST)

Message-ID: <a253f4d80611151247y31e2b2f2wba1677816027bb1d@mail.gmail.com>

Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 15:47:24 -0500

From: "S. Huff" <huffcrimeblog@gmail.com>

To: Megan <mlbruton@yahoo.com> Subject: Re: Scott Davis Comments

In-Reply-To: <20061115171323.19904.qmail@web34508.mail.mud.yahoo.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="----=\_Part\_48073\_25676646.1163623644990"

References: <20061115171323.19904.qmail@web34508.mail.mud.yahoo.com>

Delivered-To: huffcrimeblog@gmail.com

-----=\_Part\_48073\_25676646.1163623644990

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Content-Disposition: inline

Yeah, he helps in that he states his 'case' so well. Wish more bloggers wrote as well as that guy does.

I've given him your e-mail address -- is that okay? Don't know that you'll hear from him. Let me know if you do.

I'm not exactly sure how many hits that post is getting, but I've got an idea of how to track them. I'm going to give it a shot and see what happens. I can check my raw server data, but that is unformatted, and a great challenge to my ADD.

```
Things here are rainy, and gross, but otherwise, we're fine:)
 Steve
 On 11/15/06, Megan <mlbruton@yahoo.com> wrote:
 > Hey Steve,
 > It looks like the comments section about Scott's trial went off
 > yesterday. I have no idea who 'Edwin Stentin' is but I owe him a big thank
 > you. Do you mind passing that on to him from me? Do you think he is
 > personally attached to the case? I can't figure out who he may be!! He was
 > able to articulate what I was thinking. The minute 'ed' started posting I
 > was SURE he was a plant from the Davis side. This whole time I have been
 > concerned that jurors would not be able to resist the urge to peak at the
 > Internet for news on the trial. Your blog about Scott comes up very high in
 > the google search. That is why I have been leaving so many defending
> posts. If the jury was looking I wanted them to get another
> prospective. Edwin was able to dissect several of the 'Ed' post and show
> them for what they really were.
> I did notify the prosecuting attorneys on the case. They emailed back
> that they were aware of the blog comments, but there was nothing they could
> do. They have to believe in the oath the jury took before they started the
> trial.
> How many 'hits' are you getting on your Scott blog? I know everyone I
> speak to, both in America and Australia, are looking at the blog. I even
> received a mass email from David's brother telling all of their friends and
> family about it. That was another reason I posted, I wanted to be a voice
> for them and David.
> How are things in your world??
> Kind regards,
> Megan
```